



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_6607
Title of the Manuscript:	PRIVATIZATION, FISCAL POLICY AND MACRO-ECONOMIC AGGREGATES IN NIGERIA “AN IMPACT ANALYSIS”
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Grammar, Organization, and English language problems.... Just a few examples: "In other to take of the presence of white noise...." ; "Eager-Granger statistics"; "We conduct the ECM..."; references are not alphabetical; author uses the expression 'privatization proceed' even in the abstract without defining it and in a grammatically incorrect way. What is "chapter 3"? Author(s) use the expression "fiscal responsibility" without definition and seemingly incorrectly. (Does higher government spending imply fiscal responsibility??) Tests for cointegration and for unit roots yet only have 26 annual observations. Too few. No report of ECM results in paper itself. No link of ECM results and Granger causality tests. Government expenditure should not be used as synonym for fiscal responsibility.	Thanks so much for these corrections. I have taken care of all and they are highlighted in the text It was an error, I have corrected it.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		